

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,474	12/23/2003	Masahiko Matsukawa	21581-00312-US	8031	
30678	7590 03/07/200'	EXAMINER			
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP P.O. BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899-2207			ZHENG, LOIS L		
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
			1742		
		•	· .		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/743,474		MATSUKAWA ET AL.		
Examiner		Art Unit		
L	ois Zheng	1742		

	Lois Zheng		1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover si	heet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN C	ONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an a ice of Appeal (with	amendment, aff appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i	dvisory Action, or (2) t ter than SIX MONTHS b). ONLY CHECK BO	he date set forth S from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition usension and the corres hortened statutory pethan three months aft	ponding amount riod for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	ision thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the proposed amendment (some proposed and proposed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) the proposed amendment (s) filed after the proposed amendment (s) filed after a filed after the proposed amendment (s) filed after a filed after the proposed amendment (s) filed after a filed after the proposed amendment (s) filed af	nsideration and/or s w);	earch (see NO	TE below);	
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	corresponding numb			
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	21. See attached No		·	,
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 6.			ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	t before or on the da I sufficient reasons	ate of filing a N why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejection vand was not earlie	ns under appe r presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the	e claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.			n condition for allowar	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper	No(s)		
· · ·				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claim amendment changes the scope fo finally rejected claims and requires further consideration and search..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument is directed to new claim amendment of silane coupling agent and the this new claim amendment changes the scope of the finally rejected claims, therefore, requiring further consideration and search.

2